



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,877	03/29/2001		Scott Wolinsky	IT/01	7170	
35070	7590	01/25/2005		EXAMINER		
ANATOLY			JONES, SCOTT E			
674 VIA DE SUITE 216	E LA VAL	LE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	SOLANA BEACH, CA 92075			3713		
				DATE MAILED: 01/25/200	DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SN
Oil

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ad	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 01212005				
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 December 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Application Papers						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40 and 60-67</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40 and 60-67</u> is/are pending in the application.						
Disposition of Claims						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 0</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This						
Status						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Period for Reply						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Scott E. Jones	3713				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	09/823,877	WOLINSKY, SCOTT				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on October 20, 2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 7-10, 16-19, 25-28, 34-40, 60, and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu (U.S. 5,907,604).

Hsu discloses a system and method for displaying an image in association with a caller ID identifier on the display of a telephone receiver having a processor, a memory storage device to store images that may have the caller ID identifier included, and user input device. When a

Art Unit: 3713

caller places an incoming call, an image is displayed, such as an image of a person's face associated with caller ID identifier (which includes a telephone number), on the telephone receiver display, allowing the person receiving the call to identify the caller in advance of answering the call. The person receiving the call or conference call may also display each of the caller's images including telephone numbers, as well as, their own image including telephone number (Abstract, Figures 1-4, Column 1, line 66-Column 2, line 41, Column 3, line 1-Column 4, line 16,Column 5, line 22-Column 6, line 43, and Claims 1 and 8). Additionally, the telephone system may be operated in a similar manner when connected to a game system (Figure 5 and Column 5, lines 1-12).

Hsu seems to lack explicitly disclosing that the caller and the person placing the call are using the same system. What Hsu does disclose is that the caller may be utilizing a different videophone, or other telecommunications equipment to videophone (102). Furthermore, Hsu discloses the components and subsystems such can be distributed (and replicated) throughout the systems such as those shown in Figures 1, 4, and 5. Therefore, the examiner believes it would have been obvious at the time of Applicant's invention for the caller and the person answering the call to both utilize a telephone system as described in Hsu. One would be motivated to do so such that each person(s) utilizing the system can simultaneously view the image and telephone number of each person as shown (and described in Hsu) in figure 2 in order to jog the memory of each person as to who the caller is. Additionally, although Hsu discloses the telephone system may be connected and operated with regards to a game system, Hsu lacks explicitly disclosing activating a game mode to play a game between a first caller and a second caller. However, it would have been obvious at the time of Applicant's invention to implement this feature in Hsu.

Art Unit: 3713

One would be motivated to do so in order to make Hsu's telephone system operate in conjunction with a gaming system as disclosed making Hsu's system attractive because of the system's versatility for use in multimedia and entertainment capacities.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-40 and 60-67 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,682,427.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious at the time of applicant's invention to obtain telephone number information from a game player's telephone via a caller ID system to be displayed on the

Art Unit: 3713

Page 5

game screen. Obtaining caller ID information from a caller is notoriously well known as taught by Hsu.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - August et al. '267 and Flood '613 disclose systems and methods for communication between communication terminals.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott E. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-4438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 A.M. - 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott E. Jones Examiner Art Unit 3713

sej